



Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

Ŋ

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/254,15	2 02/26/	99 HIGASHIYAMA	K	001560-344	
			EXAMINER		
HM12/0613 ' RONALD L GRUDZIECKI BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS			WAN	WANG, S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PO BOX 14 ALEXANDRI	104 [A VA 22313	-1404	161	1617	
			DATE MAILED	: 06/13/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/254,152	HIGASHIYAMA ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	•			
•	Shengjun Wang	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the co					
THE REPLY FILED 04 June 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]						
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR of whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period mailing date of the final rejection. 	vo months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (continues to run from the mailing date of the od for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS	5 from the	alo cuta and a			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	d statutory period for reply originally set in to onths after the mailing date of the final rejections.	the final Office action; oction, even if timely file	or (2) as set forth in d, may reduce any			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>04 June 2001</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
 The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upor with requisite fees. 	n the timely submission of a Noti	ce of Appeal and	Appeal Brief			
3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter. (see Note	e below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat					
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	tinally rejected cl	аннѕ.			
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s					
6. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S	See Continuation Sneet.					
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered b	ecause it is not directed SOLELY					
8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s)) is as follows (see attached writt	en explanation, it	fany):			
Claim(s) allowed: None						
Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13,14 and 19-46</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.		around by the Fire	aminer			
9. The proposed drawing correction filed on			ammol.			
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
11.፟፟⊠ Other: 2 T O − 89 Z		RUSSELL THE FRIMARY EX GROUP	RAVERS AMINER 1200			
		<u> </u>				

Continuation of 6. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons as set forth in the prior office action. Note claim 19-29 was rejected based on obviousness over the prior art. See the whole rejection regarding to claim 19-29. The first sentence of the rejection should be "claims 19-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over ..." it is an inadvertent typographic error. Regarding claims 36-46, note the application as original filed, lacks direction, guidance or working examples for making the mead containing oils. Particularly, there is not direction for how to make mead acid containing oil, not arachidonic acid containing oils.